Appendix: dot product proof

18.02 Recitation MW9

Evan Chen

08 October 2024

Poonen proves this using the law of cosines. Here is an outline of a proof that doesn't involve any trigonometry. (Not all the details are filled in here.) You are not expected to understand this for homework or exams.

We have two definitions in play and we want to show they coincide, which makes notation awkward. So in what follows, our notation $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ will always refer to the *geometric* definition; that is $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} := |\mathbf{u}| |\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta$. And our goal is to show that it matches the algebraic definition given in class.

We will assume that $|\mathbf{u}| = 1$ (i.e. \mathbf{u} is a unit vector) so that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ is the length of the projection of \mathbf{v} onto \mathbf{u} . This is OK to assume because in the general case one just scales everything by $|\mathbf{u}|$.

§1 Easy special case

As a warmup, try to show that if $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ is any vector, then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 = a$. (This is easy. The projection of \mathbf{u} onto \mathbf{e}_1 is literally a.)

§2 Proof



Figure 1: Proof that the dot product is given by the projection

For concreteness, specialize to \mathbb{R}^2 and consider $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ where $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ is a unit vector (i.e. $\mathbf{u} = 1$), and $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ is any vector in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then we want to show that the projection of \mathbf{v} onto \mathbf{u} has length xa + yb.

The basic idea is to decompose $\mathbf{v} = x\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2$. The length of projection of \mathbf{v} onto \mathbf{u} can be decomposed then into the lengths of projections of $x\mathbf{e}_1$ and $y\mathbf{e}_2$. (To see this, tilt your head so the green line is horizontal; recall that the black quadrilateral is a rectangle, hence also a parallelogram). In other words,

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \cdot (x\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2) = x(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1) + y(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2).$$

But we already did the special cases before:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 = a$$

 $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = b.$

Hence, we get the right-hand side is

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = xa + yb,$$

as advertised. In summary, by using the black parallelogram, we were able to split $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ into two easy cases we already know how to do.

The same idea will work in \mathbb{R}^3 if you use $\mathbf{v} = x\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2 + z\mathbf{e}_3$ instead, and replace the parallelogram with a parallelepiped, in which case one now has 3 easy cases. And so on in *n* dimensions.