

EGMO 2026/6

Evan Chen

TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 178

Problem

Let p be a prime number and let n be a positive integer such that p does not divide n . Denote by k the number of positive divisors of n , and by $1 = d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_k = n$ the positive divisors of n . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let c_i be the number of positive divisors ℓ of d_i^2 such that $d_i - \ell$ is divisible by p . Prove that

$$(p - 1)(c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_k) \geq k^2.$$

Video

<https://youtu.be/BJaMuBJcNJA>

External Link

<https://aops.com/community/p37802621>

Solution

Set $n = q_1^{e_1} \dots q_m^{e_m}$, where each q_i is prime. Fix a primitive root g modulo p , and let $q_i \equiv g^{\lambda_i} \pmod{p}$. In what follows, index variables i will always range from 1 through m (in contrast to the problem statement).

We first rephrase how to think about c_i :

Claim (Rephrasing of c_j). Suppose d_j is the divisor $q_1^{y_1} \dots q_m^{y_m}$. Then c_j counts the number of m -tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $|x_i| \leq y_i$, and $\sum \lambda_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$.

Proof. Explicitly, in the original problem notation, $\ell = d_i \cdot \prod q_i^{x_i}$. \square

Hence, we are motivated to double-count $\sum c_i$ by the x_i 's instead.

Claim (Double counting over x_i 's). We have

$$\sum c_i = \sum_{\substack{-e_i \leq x_i \leq e_i \\ \sum \lambda_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}}} \prod_{i=1}^m (e_i + 1 - |x_i|).$$

Proof. This is exactly the double counting described just now; for a particular tuple (x_1, \dots, x_m) the number of choices of y_i is $e_i + 1 - |x_i|$ for each i . \square

The second main ingredient is to then rewrite using a roots of unity filter. We know that for any integer s , we have

$$\sum_{\omega^{p-1}=1} \omega^s = \begin{cases} 1 & s \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ 0 & s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we bring this in:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum c_i &= \sum_{\substack{-e_i \leq x_i \leq e_i \\ \sum \lambda_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}}} \prod_{i=1}^m (e_i + 1 - |x_i|) \\ &= \sum_{-e_i \leq x_i \leq e_i} \frac{\sum_{\omega^{p-1}=1} \omega^{\sum \lambda_i x_i}}{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^m (e_i + 1 - |x_i|) \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\omega^{p-1}=1} \sum_{-e_i \leq x_i \leq e_i} \prod_{i=1}^m \omega^{\lambda_i x_i} (e_i + 1 - |x_i|) \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\omega^{p-1}=1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{-e_i \leq x_i \leq e_i} \omega^{\lambda_i x_i} (e_i + 1 - |x_i|) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inner sum might be dense in notation, but in fact it's easy to describe explicitly:

Lemma. If z is a complex number with $|z| = 1$ and $e \geq 1$ is an integer, then

$$\sum_{x=-e}^e z^x \cdot (e + 1 - |x|) = |1 + z + \dots + z^e|^2.$$

Proof. If we write out the sum notation, the left-hand side might read more naturally as

$$z^{-e} + 2z^{-e} + \dots + ez^{-1} + (e + 1) + ez + (e - 1)z^2 + \dots + z^e.$$

The point is that it factors into

$$(1 + z + \dots + z^e)(1 + z^{-1} + \dots + z^{-e}).$$

For $|z| = 1$ we thus get $1 + z + \dots + z^e$ times its own conjugate. \square

Applying that we finally arrive at

$$\sum c_i = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\omega^{p-1}=1} \prod_{i=1}^m |1 + \omega^{\lambda_i} + \dots + \omega^{\lambda_i e_i}|^2.$$

We consider only the contribution of $\omega = 1$ and simply note the other terms are nonnegative to get the estimate

$$\sum c_i \geq \frac{1}{p-1} (e_1 + 1)^2 \dots (e_m + 1)^2$$

which is what we wanted.