# EGMO 2014/4 Evan Chen

Twitch Solves ISL

Episode 147

### Problem

Determine all positive integers  $n \ge 2$  for which there exist integers  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  satisfying the condition that if 0 < i < n, 0 < j < n,  $i \ne j$  and n divides 2i + j, then  $x_i < x_j$ .

### Video

https://youtu.be/Ce0D5Uzxnew

## **External Link**

https://aops.com/community/p3460731

#### Solution

The answer is  $n = 2^k$  and  $n = 3 \cdot 2^k$ , for each  $k \ge 0$  (excluding n = 1).

We work with the set  $S = \{1, 2, ..., n-1\} \mod n$  of nonzero residues modulo n instead. We define the relation  $\prec$  on S to mean that  $2i + j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  and  $i \neq j$ , for  $i, j \in S$ . Then the problem satisfies the conditions if and only if  $\prec$  has no cycles, i.e.  $\prec$  imposes a partial order on S.

The existence of a cycle for  $\prec$  is equivalent to some choice of  $t_1 \in S$  and an integer  $m \geq 2$  such that

$$t_1 \prec t_2 \prec \cdots \prec t_m \prec t_1.$$

Unwinding the definition, this is equivalent to two conditions:

• We need  $t_i \not\equiv t_{i+1} \pmod{n}$  for i = 1, ..., m (where  $t_{m+1} = t_1$ ). This is equivalent to

$$3 \cdot 2^{i-1} \cdot t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \qquad (\heartsuit)$$

• For  $t_m \prec t_1$  to be true, we need

$$(-2)^m t_1 \equiv t_1 \pmod{n} \iff ((-2)^m - 1) t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$
 ( $\blacklozenge$ )

We now analyze three cases:

- Let  $n = 2^k$ . Suppose for contradiction some cycle exists. Then  $(-2)^m 1$  is coprime to n, so ( $\bigstar$ ) would imply  $t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , contradiction.
- Let  $n = 3 \cdot 2^k$ . Suppose for contradiction some cycle exists. If ( $\bigstar$ ) holds for some m, then  $2^k \mid t_1$ , so the only possibility is that  $t_1 \equiv \pm 2^k \pmod{n}$  and  $3 \mid (-2)^m 1$ . However, in that case ( $\heartsuit$ ) is violated for i = 1, contradiction.
- Suppose n had an n has an odd divisor  $d \mid n$  and  $d \geq 5$ . Then taking  $t_1 = n/d$  and  $m = \varphi(d)$ , the equation ( $\blacklozenge$ ) is true. Moreover, ( $\heartsuit$ ) is true because there is at least one odd prime p with  $\nu_p(n) > \nu_p(3t_1) = \nu_p(3n/d)$  (since  $d \geq 5$  is odd). So indeed it's possible to construct a cycle.

Thus these are all the answers and the only answers.