

# IMO 2023/3

Evan Chen

TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 130

## Problem

For each integer  $k \geq 2$ , determine all infinite sequences of positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  for which there exists a polynomial  $P$  of the form

$$P(x) = x^k + c_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0,$$

where  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}$  are non-negative integers, such that

$$P(a_n) = a_{n+1}a_{n+2} \cdots a_{n+k}$$

for every integer  $n \geq 1$ .

## Video

<https://youtu.be/yGZUjUYTbzc>

## External Link

<https://aops.com/community/p28097600>

## Solution

The answer is  $a_n$  being an arithmetic progression. Indeed, if  $a_n = d(n-1) + a_1$  for  $d \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$a_{n+1}a_{n+2}\dots a_{n+k} = (a_n + d)(a_n + 2d)\dots(a_n + kd)$$

so we can just take  $P(x) = (x+d)(x+2d)\dots(x+kd)$ .

The converse direction takes a few parts.

**Claim.** Either  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$  or the sequence is constant.

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(a_{n-1}) &= a_n a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+k-1} \\ P(a_n) &= a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \dots a_{n+k} \\ \implies a_{n+k} &= \frac{P(a_n)}{P(a_{n-1})} \cdot a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now the polynomial  $P$  is strictly increasing over  $\mathbb{N}$ .

So assume for contradiction there's an index  $n$  such that  $a_n < a_{n-1}$ . Then in fact the above equation shows  $a_{n+k} < a_n < a_{n-1}$ . Then there's an index  $\ell \in [n+1, n+k]$  such that  $a_\ell < a_{\ell-1}$ , and also  $a_\ell < a_n$ . Continuing in this way, we can have an infinite descending subsequence of  $(a_n)$ , but that's impossible because we assumed integers.

Hence we have  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$ . Now similarly, if  $a_n = a_{n-1}$  for any index  $n$ , then  $a_{n+k} = a_n$ , ergo  $a_{n-1} = a_n = a_{n+1} = \dots = a_{n+k}$ . So the sequence is eventually constant, and then by downwards induction, it is fully constant.  $\square$

**Claim.** There exists a constant  $C$  (depending only  $P, k$ ) such that we have  $a_{n+1} \leq a_n + C$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a constant such that  $P(x) < x^k + Cx^{k-1}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  (for example  $C = c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_{k-1} + 1$  works). We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+k} &= \frac{P(a_n)}{a_{n+1}a_{n+2}\dots a_{n+k-1}} \\ &< \frac{P(a_n)}{(a_n+1)(a_n+2)\dots(a_n+k-1)} \\ &< \frac{a_n^k + C \cdot a_n^{k-1}}{(a_n+1)(a_n+2)\dots(a_n+k-1)} \\ &< a_n + C + 1. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Assume henceforth  $a_n$  is nonconstant, and hence unbounded. For each index  $n$  and term  $a_n$  in the sequence, consider the associated differences  $d_1 = a_{n+1} - a_n$ ,  $d_2 = a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $d_k = a_{n+k} - a_{n+k-1}$ , which we denote by

$$\Delta(n) := (d_1, \dots, d_k).$$

This  $\Delta$  can only take up to  $C^k$  different values. So in particular, some tuple  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  must appear infinitely often as  $\Delta(n)$ ; for that tuple, we obtain

$$P(a_N) = (a_N + d_1)(a_N + d_1 + d_2)\dots(a_N + d_1 + \dots + d_k)$$

for infinitely many  $N$ . But because of that, we actually must have

$$P(X) = (X + d_1)(X + d_1 + d_2)\dots(X + d_1 + \dots + d_k).$$

However, this *also* means that *exactly* one output to  $\Delta$  occurs infinitely often (because that output is determined by  $P$ ). Consequently, it follows that  $\Delta$  is eventually constant. For this to happen,  $a_n$  must eventually coincide with an arithmetic progression of some common difference  $d$ , and  $P(X) = (X + d)(X + 2d) \dots (X + kd)$ . Finally, this implies by downwards induction that  $a_n$  is an arithmetic progression on all inputs.