

# TSTST 2020/7

Evan Chen

TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 50

## Problem

Find all nonconstant polynomials  $P(z)$  with complex coefficients for which all complex roots of the polynomials  $P(z)$  and  $P(z) - 1$  have absolute value 1.

## Video

[https://youtu.be/NOjZ\\_DKUgxc](https://youtu.be/NOjZ_DKUgxc)

## External Link

<https://aops.com/community/p20020202>

## Solution

The answer is  $P(x)$  should be a polynomial of the form  $P(x) = \lambda x^n - \mu$  where  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \mu = -\frac{1}{2}$ . One may check these all work; let's prove they are the only solutions.

**First approach (Evan Chen).** We introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= c_n x^n + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1 x + c_0 \\ &= c_n (x + \alpha_1) \cdots (x + \alpha_n) \\ P(x) - 1 &= c_n (x + \beta_1) \cdots (x + \beta_n) \end{aligned}$$

By taking conjugates,

$$\begin{aligned} (x + \alpha_1) \cdots (x + \alpha_n) &= (x + \beta_1) \cdots (x + \beta_n) + c_n^{-1} \\ \implies \left(x + \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right) \cdots \left(x + \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\right) &= \left(x + \frac{1}{\beta_1}\right) \cdots \left(x + \frac{1}{\beta_n}\right) + (\overline{c_n})^{-1} \quad (\spadesuit) \end{aligned}$$

The equation  $(\spadesuit)$  is the main player:

**Claim.** We have  $c_k = 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

*Proof.* By comparing coefficients of  $x^k$  in  $(\spadesuit)$  we obtain

$$\frac{c_{n-k}}{\prod_i \alpha_i} = \frac{c_{n-k}}{\prod_i \beta_i}$$

but  $\prod_i \alpha_i - \prod_i \beta_i = \frac{1}{c_n} \neq 0$ . Hence  $c_k = 0$ .  $\square$

It follows that  $P(x)$  must be of the form  $P(x) = \lambda x^n - \mu$ , so that  $P(x) = \lambda x^n - (\mu + 1)$ . This requires  $|\mu| = |\mu + 1| = |\lambda|$  which is equivalent to the stated part.

**Second approach (from the author).** We let  $A = P$  and  $B = P - 1$  to make the notation more symmetric. We will as before show that  $A$  and  $B$  have all coefficients equal to zero other than the leading and constant coefficient; the finish is the same.

First, we rule out double roots.

**Claim.** Neither  $A$  nor  $B$  have double roots.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $b$  is a double root of  $B$ . By differentiating, we obtain  $A' = B'$ , so  $A'(b) = 0$ . However, by Gauss-Lucas, this forces  $A(b) = 0$ , contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ , let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be the roots of  $A$ , and let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be the roots of  $B$ . For each  $k$ , let  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  be the points in the complex plane corresponding to  $a_k$  and  $b_k$ .

**Claim (Main claim).** For any  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $\frac{a_i}{a_j}$  is a power of  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\frac{a_i - b_1}{a_j - b_1} \cdots \frac{a_i - b_n}{a_j - b_n} = \frac{B(a_i)}{B(a_j)} = \frac{A(a_i) - 1}{A(a_j) - 1} = \frac{0 - 1}{0 - 1} = 1.$$

Since the points  $A_i, A_j, B_k$  all lie on the unit circle, interpreting the left-hand side geometrically gives

$$\angle A_i B_1 A_j + \cdots + \angle A_i B_n A_j = 0 \implies n \widehat{A_i A_j} = 0,$$

where angles are directed modulo  $180^\circ$  and arcs are directed modulo  $360^\circ$ . This implies that  $\frac{a_i}{a_j}$  is a power of  $\omega$ .  $\square$

Now the finish is easy: since  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are all different, they must be  $a_1\omega^0, \dots, a_1\omega^{n-1}$  in some order; this shows that  $A$  is a multiple of  $x^n - a_1^n$ , as needed.