

USEMO 2020/4

Evan Chen

TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 35

Problem

A function f from the set of positive real numbers to itself satisfies

$$f(x + f(y) + xy) = xf(y) + f(x + y)$$

for all positive real numbers x and y . Prove that $f(x) = x$ for all positive real numbers x .

Video

https://youtu.be/5a_XCGKiXnI

External Link

<https://aops.com/community/p18486884>

Solution

We present two solutions.

First solution (Nikolai Beluhov). We first begin with the following observation.

Claim. We must have $f(y) \geq y$ for all $y > 0$.

Proof. Otherwise, choose $0 < x < 1$ satisfying that $f(y) = (1 - x) \cdot y$. Then plugging this $P(x, y)$ gives $xf(y) = 0$, contradiction. \square

Now, we make the substitution $f(x) = x + g(x)$, so that g is a function $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The given function equation reads $g(x + xy + (y + g(y))) + x + (y + g(y)) = (xy + xg(y)) + (x + y + g(x + y))$, or

$$g(x + y + xy + g(y)) = (x - 1)g(y) + g(x + y). \quad (\dagger)$$

We have to show that g is the zero function from (\dagger) .

Claim (Injectivity for nonzero outputs). If $g(a) = g(b)$ for $a \neq b$, then we must actually have $g(a) = g(b) = 0$.

Proof. Setting (a, b) and (b, a) in (\dagger) gives $(a - 1)g(b) = (b - 1)g(a)$ which, since $a - 1 \neq b - 1$, forces $g(a) = g(b) = 0$. \square

Claim (g vanishes on $(1, \infty)$). We have $g(t) = 0$ for $t > 1$.

Proof. If we set $x = 1$ in (\dagger) we obtain that $g(g(y) + 2y + 1) = g(1 + y)$. As the inputs are obviously unequal, the previous claim gives $g(1 + y) = 0$ for all $y > 0$. \square

Now $x = 2$ in (\dagger) to get $g(y) = 0$, as needed.

Second solution (from authors). We start with the same opening of showing $f(y) \geq y$, defining $f(x) = x + g(x)$, so g satisfies (\dagger) . Here is another proof that $g \equiv 0$ from (\dagger) .

Claim. If g is not the zero function, then for any constant C , we have $g(t) > C$ for sufficiently large t .

Proof. In (\dagger) fix y to be any input for which $g(y) > 0$. Then

$$g((1 + y)x + (y + g(y))) \geq (x - 1)g(y)$$

so for large x , we get the conclusion. \square

Remark. You could phrase the lemma succinctly as “ $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = +\infty$ ”. But I personally think it’s a bit confusing to do so because in practice we usually talk about limits of continuous (or well-behaved) functions, so a statement like this would have the wrong connotations, even if technically correct and shorter.

On the other hand, by choosing $x = 1$ and $y = t - 1$ for $t > 1$ in (\dagger) , we get

$$g(2t + g(z) - 1) = g(t)$$

and hence one can generate an infinite sequence of fixed points: start from $t_0 = 100$, and define $t_n = 2t_{n-1} + g(t_{n-1}) - 2 > t_{n-1} + 98$ for $n \geq 1$ to get

$$g(t_0) = g(t_1) = g(t_2) = \dots$$

and since the t_i are arbitrarily large, this produces a contradiction if $g \not\equiv 0$.