

# USEMO 2020/1

**Evan Chen**

Twitch Solves ISL

Episode 34

## Problem

Which positive integers can be written in the form

$$\frac{\text{lcm}(x, y) + \text{lcm}(y, z)}{\text{lcm}(x, z)}$$

for positive integers  $x, y, z$ ?

## Video

[https://youtu.be/5a\\_XCGKiXnI](https://youtu.be/5a_XCGKiXnI)

## External Link

<https://aops.com/community/p18471128>

## Solution

Let  $k$  be the desired value, meaning

$$-k \operatorname{lcm}(x, z) + \operatorname{lcm}(x, y) + \operatorname{lcm}(y, z) = 0.$$

Our claim is that the possible values are even integers.

Indeed, if  $k$  is even, it is enough to take  $(x, y, z) = (1, k/2, 1)$ .

For the converse direction we present a few approaches.

**First approach using  $\nu_2$  only.** We are going to use the following fact:

**Lemma.** If  $u, v, w$  are nonzero integers with  $u + v + w = 0$ , then either

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u) > \nu_2(v) = \nu_2(w); \\ \nu_2(v) > \nu_2(w) = \nu_2(u); \quad \text{or} \\ \nu_2(w) > \nu_2(u) = \nu_2(v). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let's assume WLOG that  $e = \nu_2(w)$  is minimal. If both  $\nu_2(u)$  and  $\nu_2(v)$  are strictly greater than  $e$ , then  $\nu_2(u + v + w) = e$  which is impossible. So assume WLOG again that  $\nu_2(v) = \nu_2(w) = e$ . Then

$$u = -(2^e \cdot \text{odd} + 2^e \cdot \text{odd}) = -2^e \cdot \text{even}$$

so  $\nu_2(u) \geq e + 1$ . □

However, if we assume for contradiction that  $k$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(-k \operatorname{lcm}(x, z)) &= \max(\nu_2(x), \nu_2(z)) \\ \nu_2(\operatorname{lcm}(x, y)) &= \max(\nu_2(x), \nu_2(y)) \\ \nu_2(\operatorname{lcm}(y, z)) &= \max(\nu_2(y), \nu_2(z)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the *largest* two numbers among the three right-hand sides must be equal. So by the lemma, there is no way the three numbers  $(-k \operatorname{lcm}(x, z), \operatorname{lcm}(x, y), \operatorname{lcm}(y, z))$  could have sum zero.

**Second approach using  $\nu_p$  for general  $p$ .** We'll prove the following much stronger claim (which will obviously imply  $k$  is even).

**Claim.** We must have  $\operatorname{lcm}(x, z) \mid \operatorname{lcm}(x, y) = \operatorname{lcm}(y, z)$ .

*Proof.* Take any prime  $p$  and look at three numbers  $\nu_p(x), \nu_p(y), \nu_p(z)$ . We'll show that

$$\max(\nu_p(x), \nu_p(z)) \leq \max(\nu_p(x), \nu_p(y)) = \max(\nu_p(y), \nu_p(z)).$$

If  $\nu_p(y)$  is the (non-strict) maximum, then the claim is obviously true.

If not, by symmetry assume WLOG that  $\nu_p(x)$  is largest, so that  $\nu_p(x) > \nu_p(y)$  and  $\nu_p(x) \geq \nu_p(z)$ . However, from the given equation, we now have  $\nu_p(\operatorname{lcm}(y, z)) \geq \nu_p(x)$ . This can only occur if  $\nu_p(z) = \nu_p(x)$ . So the claim is true in this case too. □

**Third approach without taking primes (by circlethm).** By scaling, we may as well assume  $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ .

Let  $d_{xy} = \gcd(x, y)$ , etc. Now note that  $\gcd(d_{xy}, d_{xz}) = 1$ , and cyclically! This allows us to write the following decomposition:

$$x = d_{xy}d_{xz}a$$

$$y = d_{xy}d_{yz}b$$

$$z = d_{xz}d_{yz}c.$$

We also have  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, c) = \gcd(c, a) = 1$  now.

Now, we have

$$\text{lcm}(x, y) = d_{xy}d_{xz}d_{yz}ab$$

$$\text{lcm}(y, z) = d_{xy}d_{xz}d_{yz}bc$$

$$\text{lcm}(x, z) = d_{xy}d_{xz}d_{yz}ac$$

and so substituting this in to the equation gives

$$k = b \cdot \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{c} \right).$$

For  $a, b, c$  coprime this can only be an integer if  $a = c$ , so  $k = 2b$ .

**Remark.** From  $a = c = 1$ , the third approach also gets the nice result that  $\text{lcm}(x, y) = \text{lcm}(y, z)$  in the original equation.