

IMO 2020/5

Evan Chen

TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 30

Problem

A deck of $n > 1$ cards is given. A positive integer is written on each card. The deck has the property that the arithmetic mean of the numbers on each pair of cards is also the geometric mean of the numbers on some collection of one or more cards. For which n does it follow that the numbers on the cards are all equal?

Video

<https://youtu.be/0xeeSsdEgwI>

Solution

The assertion is true for all n .

Setup (boilerplate). Suppose that a_1, \dots, a_n satisfy the required properties but are not all equal. Let $d = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) > 1$ then replace a_1, \dots, a_n by $\frac{a_1}{d}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{d}$. Hence without loss of generality we may assume

$$\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1.$$

WLOG we also assume

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n.$$

Main proof. As $a_1 \geq 2$, let p be a prime divisor of a_1 . Let k be smallest index such that $p \nmid a_k$ (which must exist). In particular, note that $a_1 \neq a_k$.

Consider the mean $x = \frac{a_1 + a_k}{2}$; by assumption, it equals some geometric mean, hence

$$\sqrt[m]{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}} = \frac{a_1 + a_k}{2} > a_k.$$

Since the arithmetic mean is an integer not divisible by p , all the indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m must be at least k . But then the GM is at most a_k , contradiction.

Remark. A similar approach could be attempted by using the smallest numbers rather than the largest ones, but one must then handle the edge case $a_n = 1$ separately since no prime divides 1.

Remark. Since $\frac{27+9}{2} = 18 = \sqrt[3]{27 \cdot 27 \cdot 8}$, it is not true that in general the AM of two largest different cards is not the GM of other numbers in the sequence (say the cards are $27, 27, 9, 8, \dots$).