

# Shortlist 2002 C7

Evan Chen

TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 18

## Problem

Among a group of 120 people, some pairs are friends. A weak quartet is a set of four people containing exactly one pair of friends. What is the maximum possible number of weak quartets ?

## Video

<https://youtu.be/4AxsoRBAYE0>

## External Link

<https://aops.com/community/p118720>

## Solution

The answer is 4769280 achieved when the graph  $G$  is five disjoint copies of  $K_{24}$ .

The following claim reduces the problem to an annoying (but routine) calculation:

**Claim.** The optimum is achieved when  $G$  consists of the disjoint union of several cliques.

*Proof.* The proof goes by Zykov symmetrization. Assume that  $G$  is chosen so the number of weak quartets is maximized.

Throughout the process, we annotate every vertex  $v$  of  $G$  with the number of weak quartets that  $G$  is in, say  $n(v)$ . Now the main observation is that: if  $n(v) \geq n(v')$ , then deleting  $v'$  and replacing it with a clone of  $v$  changes the number of weak quartets in the graph by  $n(v) - n(v')$ . Indeed,

- Any weak quartet that involved  $v'$  but not  $v$  is destroyed;
- Any weak quartet that involved  $v$  but not  $v'$  is cloned;
- Any weak quartet that involved both  $v$  and  $v'$  is unaffected (as is any weak quartet which involved neither).

Since  $G$  was picked maximally, that means in fact  $n(v) = n(v')$  for any  $v$  and  $v'$  in the same connected component of  $G$ . Hence we can do the cloning operation between any pair of adjacent vertices  $v$  and  $v'$ , with no change to the number of weak quartets.

Moreover, doing so won't change  $n(w)$  for any other vertices  $w$  which remain in the same connected component as the cloned  $v$ . After all, if it did, then we could perform the operation in a way that strictly increases the number of weak quartets, contradicting again the maximality of  $G$ .

So, for each component  $C$  of  $G$ , we pick any vertex  $v$  and clone it everywhere. This could break off  $C$  into multiple components, which is okay; we then handle those components by the same procedure later on. Repeating this procedure several times we eventually arrive at  $G$  a disjoint union of cliques.  $\square$

The rest of the calculation is only outlined below. If  $G$  has cliques of size  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  then the number of weak quartets is exactly

$$\sum_1^n \binom{a_i}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq n \\ j, k \neq i}} a_j a_k$$

and a calculation shows we want these to be as close as equal as possible. Up to integer rounding issues, this means we have

$$n \cdot \binom{120/n}{2} \binom{n-1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{120}{n}\right)^2$$

which turns out to be maximal at  $n = 5$  giving the answer earlier.