# **JMO 2020/6**

# **Evan Chen**

# TWITCH SOLVES ISL

Episode 16

## **Problem**

Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a nonconstant n-variable polynomial with real coefficients. Assuming that P vanishes whenever two of its arguments are equal, prove that P has at least n! terms.

## Video

https://youtu.be/r7j0oRtpErA

#### **External Link**

https://aops.com/community/p15952921

#### Solution

We present two solutions.

First solution using induction (by Ankan). Begin with the following observation:

**Claim.** Let  $1 \le i < j \le n$ . There is no term of P which omits both  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ .

*Proof.* Note that P ought to become identically zero if we set  $x_i = x_j = 0$ , since it is zero for any choice of the remaining n-2 variables, and the base field  $\mathbb{R}$  is infinite.  $\square$ 

**Remark** (Technical warning for experts). The fact we used is not true if  $\mathbb{R}$  is replaced by a field with finitely many elements, such as  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , even with one variable. For example the one-variable polynomial  $X^p - X$  vanishes on every element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , by Fermat's little theorem.

We proceed by induction on  $n \geq 2$  with the base case n = 2 being clear. Assume WLOG P is not divisible by any of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , since otherwise we may simply divide out this factor. Now for the inductive step, note that

- The polynomial  $P(0, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n)$  obviously satisfies the inductive hypothesis and is not identically zero since  $x_1 \nmid P$ , so it has at least (n-1)! terms.
- Similarly,  $P(x_1, 0, x_3, \dots, x_n)$  also has at least (n-1)! terms.
- Similarly,  $P(x_1, x_2, 0, \dots, x_n)$  also has at least (n-1)! terms.
- ...and so on.

By the claim, all the terms obtained in this way came from different terms of the original polynomial P. Therefore, P itself has at least  $n \cdot (n-1)! = n!$  terms.

**Remark.** Equality is achieved by the Vandermonde polynomial  $P = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_i - x_j)$ .

Second solution using Vandermonde polynomial (by Yang Liu). Since  $x_i - x_j$  divides P for any  $i \neq j$ , it follows that P should be divisible by the Vandermonde polynomial

$$V = \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i) = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) x_1^{\sigma(0)} x_2^{\sigma(1)} \dots x_n^{\sigma(n-1)}$$

where the sum runs over all permutations  $\sigma$  on  $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ .

Consequently, we may write

$$P = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) x_1^{\sigma(0)} x_2^{\sigma(1)} \dots x_n^{\sigma(n-1)} Q$$

The main idea is that each of the n! terms of the above sum has a monomial not appearing in any of the other terms.

As an example, consider  $x_1^{n-1}x_2^{n-2}\dots x_{n-1}^1x_n^0$ . Among all monomial in Q, consider the monomial  $x_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2}\dots x_n^{e_n}$  with the largest  $e_1$ , then largest  $e_2, \dots$  (In other words, take the lexicographically largest  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ .) This term

$$x_1^{e_1+(n-1)}x_2^{e_2+(n-2)}\dots x_n^{e_n}$$

can't appear anywhere else because it is strictly lexicographically larger than any other term appearing in any other expansion.

Repeating this argument with every  $\sigma$  gives the conclusion.