JMO 2022 Solution Notes

Compiled by Evan Chen

 $27 \ {\rm January} \ 2023$

This is an compilation of solutions for the 2022 JMO. Some of the solutions are my own work, but many are from the official solutions provided by the organizers (for which they hold any copyrights), and others were found by users on the Art of Problem Solving forums.

These notes will tend to be a bit more advanced and terse than the "official" solutions from the organizers. In particular, if a theorem or technique is not known to beginners but is still considered "standard", then I often prefer to use this theory anyways, rather than try to work around or conceal it. For example, in geometry problems I typically use directed angles without further comment, rather than awkwardly work around configuration issues. Similarly, sentences like "let \mathbb{R} denote the set of real numbers" are typically omitted entirely.

Corrections and comments are welcome!

Contents

0	Problems	2
1	JMO 2022/1, proposed by Holden Mui	3
2	JMO 2022/2, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya	4
3	JMO 2022/3, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya	5
4	JMO 2022/4, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya	7
5	JMO 2022/5, proposed by Holden Mui	9
6	JMO 2022/6, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya	10

§0 Problems

- 1. For which positive integers m does there exist an infinite sequence in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ which is both an arithmetic progression and a geometric progression, but is nonconstant?
- 2. Let a and b be positive integers. The cells of an $(a + b + 1) \times (a + b + 1)$ grid are colored amber and bronze such that there are at least $a^2 + ab b$ amber cells and at least $b^2 + ab a$ bronze cells. Prove that it is possible to choose a amber cells and b bronze cells such that no two of the a + b chosen cells lie in the same row or column.
- **3.** Let $b \ge 2$ and $w \ge 2$ be fixed integers, and n = b + w. Given are 2b identical black rods and 2w identical white rods, each of side length 1.

We assemble a regular 2n-gon using these rods so that parallel sides are the same color. Then, a convex 2b-gon B is formed by translating the black rods, and a convex 2w-gon W is formed by translating the white rods. An example of one way of doing the assembly when b = 3 and w = 2 is shown below, as well as the resulting polygons B and W.



Prove that the difference of the areas of B and W depends only on the numbers b and w, and not on how the 2n-gon was assembled.

- 4. Let ABCD be a rhombus, and let K and L be points such that K lies inside the rhombus, L lies outside the rhombus, and KA = KB = LC = LD. Prove that there exist points X and Y on lines AC and BD such that KXLY is also a rhombus.
- 5. Find all pairs of primes (p,q) for which p-q and pq-q are both perfect squares.
- **6.** Let a_0, b_0, c_0 be complex numbers, and define

$$a_{n+1} = a_n^2 + 2b_n c_n$$

$$b_{n+1} = b_n^2 + 2c_n a_n$$

$$c_{n+1} = c_n^2 + 2a_n b_n$$

for all nonnegative integers n. Suppose that $\max\{|a_n|, |b_n|, |c_n|\} \le 2022$ for all $n \ge 0$. Prove that

$$|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2 + |c_0|^2 \le 1.$$

§1 JMO 2022/1, proposed by Holden Mui

For which positive integers m does there exist an infinite sequence in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ which is both an arithmetic progression and a geometric progression, but is nonconstant?

Answer: m must not be squarefree.

The problem is essentially asking when there exists a nonconstant arithmetic progression in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ which is also a geometric progression. Now,

- If m is squarefree, then consider three (s-d, d, s+d) in arithmetic progression. It's geometric if and only if $d^2 = (s-d)(s+d) \pmod{m}$, meaning $d^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Then $d \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. So any arithmetic progression which is also geometric is constant in this case.
- Conversely if $p^2 \mid m$ for some prime p, then any arithmetic progression with common difference m/p is geometric by the same calculation.

§2 JMO 2022/2, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya

Let a and b be positive integers. The cells of an $(a + b + 1) \times (a + b + 1)$ grid are colored amber and bronze such that there are at least $a^2 + ab - b$ amber cells and at least $b^2 + ab - a$ bronze cells. Prove that it is possible to choose a amber cells and b bronze cells such that no two of the a + b chosen cells lie in the same row or column.

Claim — There exists a transversal T_a with at least a amber cells. Analogously, there exists a transversal T_b with at least b bronze cells.

Proof. If one picks a random transversal, the expected value of the number of amber cells is at least

$$\frac{a^2 + ab - b^2}{a + b + 1} = (a - 1) + \frac{1}{a + b + 1} > a - 1.$$

Now imagine we transform T_a to T_b in some number of steps, by repeatedly choosing cells c and c' and swapping them with the two other corners of the rectangle formed by their row/column, as shown in the figure.



By "discrete intermediate value theorem", the number of amber cells will be either a or a + 1 at some point during this transformation. This completes the proof.

§3 JMO 2022/3, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya

Let $b \ge 2$ and $w \ge 2$ be fixed integers, and n = b + w. Given are 2b identical black rods and 2w identical white rods, each of side length 1.

We assemble a regular 2n-gon using these rods so that parallel sides are the same color. Then, a convex 2b-gon B is formed by translating the black rods, and a convex 2w-gon W is formed by translating the white rods. An example of one way of doing the assembly when b = 3 and w = 2is shown below, as well as the resulting polygons B and W.



Prove that the difference of the areas of B and W depends only on the numbers b and w, and not on how the 2n-gon was assembled.

We are going to prove that one may swap a black rod with an adjacent white rod (as well as the rods parallel to them) without affecting the difference in the areas of B - W. Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} denote the originally black and white vectors that were adjacent on the 2n-gon and are now going to be swapped. Let \vec{x} denote the sum of all the other black vectors between \vec{u} and $-\vec{u}$, and define \vec{y} similarly. See the diagram below, where B_0 and W_0 are the polygons before the swap, and B_1 and W_1 are the resulting changed polygons.



Observe that the only change in B and W is in the parallelograms shown above in each diagram. Letting \land denote the wedge product, we need to show that

$$\vec{u} \wedge \vec{x} - \vec{v} \wedge \vec{y} = \vec{v} \wedge \vec{x} - \vec{u} \wedge \vec{y}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) \wedge (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = 0.$$

In other words, it would suffice to show $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ are parallel. (Students not familiar with wedge products can replace every \wedge with the cross product \times instead.)

Claim — Both $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ are perpendicular to vector $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$.

Proof. We have $(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) \perp (\vec{u} + \vec{v})$ because \vec{u} and \vec{v} are the same length.

For the other perpendicularity, note that $\vec{u} + \vec{v} + \vec{x} + \vec{y}$ traces out a diameter of the circumcircle of the original 2*n*-gon; call this diameter *AB*, so

$$A + \vec{u} + \vec{v} + \vec{x} + \vec{y} = B.$$

Now point $A + \vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is a point on this semicircle, which means (by the inscribed angle theorem) the angle between $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ is 90°.

§4 JMO 2022/4, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya

Let ABCD be a rhombus, and let K and L be points such that K lies inside the rhombus, L lies outside the rhombus, and KA = KB = LC = LD. Prove that there exist points X and Y on lines AC and BD such that KXLY is also a rhombus.

To start, notice that $\triangle AKB \cong \triangle DLC$ by SSS. Then by the condition K lies inside the rhombus while L lies outside it, we find that the two congruent triangles are just translations of each other (i.e. they have the same orientation).

First solution Let M be the midpoint of \overline{KL} and is O the center of the rhombus.

Claim — $\overline{MO} \perp \overline{AB}$.

Proof. Let U and V denote the midpoint of \overline{AB} and \overline{CD} respectively. Then \overline{KU} and \overline{LV} are obviously translates, and perpendicular to $\overline{AB} \parallel \overline{CD}$. Since M is the midpoint of \overline{KL} and O is the midpoint of \overline{UV} , the result follows.

We choose X and Y to be the intersections of the perpendicular bisector of \overline{KL} with \overline{AC} and \overline{BD} .



Claim — The midpoint of \overline{XY} coincides with the midpoint of \overline{KL} .

Proof. Because

$$\overline{XY} \perp \overline{KL} \parallel \overline{BC}
 \overline{MO} \perp \overline{AB}
 \overline{BD} \perp \overline{AC}$$

it follows that $\triangle MOY$, which was determined by the three lines \overline{XY} , \overline{MO} , \overline{BD} , is similar to $\triangle ABC$. In particular, it is isosceles with MY = MO. Analogously, MX = MO. \Box

Remark. It is also possible to simply use coordinates to prove both claims.

Second solution (author's solution) In this solution, we instead define X and Y as the intersections of the circles centered at K and L of equal radii KA, which will be denoted ω_K and ω_L . It is clear that KXLY is a rhombus under this construction, so it suffices to show that X and Y lie on AC and BD (in some order).



To see this, let \overline{AC} meet ω_K again at X'. We have

$$\measuredangle CXD = \measuredangle BXC = \measuredangle AXB = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{m} \widehat{AB} = \operatorname{m} \widehat{CD}$$

where the arcs are directed modulo 360° ; here \widehat{AB} is the arc of ω_K cut out by $\angle AXB$, and \widehat{DC} is the analogous arc of ω_L . This implies X' lies on ω_L by the inscribed angle theorem. Hence X = X', and it follows X lies on \overline{AC} .

Analogously Y lies on BD.

Remark. The angle calculation above can also be replaced with a length calculation, as follows.

Let M and N be the projections of K and L onto \overline{AC} , respectively. Then X' is the reflection of A across M; analogously, the second intersection X'' with \overline{AC} should be the reflection of C across N. So to get X = X' = X'', we would need to show AC = 2MN. However, note that AKLD is a parallelogram. As MN was the projection of \overline{KL} onto

However, note that AKLD is a parallelogram. As MN was the projection of KL onto \overline{AC} , its length should be the same as the projection of \overline{AD} onto \overline{AC} , which is obviously $\frac{1}{2}AC$ because the projection of D onto \overline{AC} is exactly the midpoint of \overline{AC} (i.e. the center of the rhombus).

§5 JMO 2022/5, proposed by Holden Mui

Find all pairs of primes (p,q) for which p-q and pq-q are both perfect squares.

The answer is (3, 2) only. Set

$$a^2 = p - q$$
$$b^2 = pq - q.$$

Note that 0 < a < p, and 0 < b < p (because $q \le p$). Now subtracting gives

$$\underbrace{(b-a)}_{$$

The inequalities above now force b + a = p. Hence q - 1 = b - a.

This means p and q-1 have the same parity, which can only occur if q = 2. Finally, taking mod 3 shows $p \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. So (3, 2) is the only possibility (and it does work).

§6 JMO 2022/6, proposed by Ankan Bhattacharya

Let a_0, b_0, c_0 be complex numbers, and define

$$a_{n+1} = a_n^2 + 2b_n c_n$$
$$b_{n+1} = b_n^2 + 2c_n a_n$$
$$c_{n+1} = c_n^2 + 2a_n b_n$$

for all nonnegative integers n. Suppose that $\max\{|a_n|, |b_n|, |c_n|\} \le 2022$ for all $n \ge 0$. Prove that $|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2 + |c_0|^2 \le 1$.

For brevity, set $s_n \coloneqq |a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2 + |c_n|^2$. Note that the s_n are real numbers.

 $\label{eq:claim} \mbox{Claim} \ (\mbox{Key miraculous identity}) \mbox{$-$} \ \mbox{We have}$

$$s_{n+1} - s_n^2 = 2|a_n\overline{b_n} + b_n\overline{c_n} + c_n\overline{a_n}|^2.$$

Proof. We prove this by mechanical calculation. First,

$$s_{n+1} = |a_n^2 + 2b_n c_n|^2 + |b_n^2 + 2c_n a_n|^2 + |c_n^2 + 2a_n b_n|^2$$

$$= \sum_{cyc} |a_n^2 + 2b_n c_n|^2$$

$$= \sum_{cyc} (a_n^2 + 2b_n c_n)(\overline{a_n}^2 + 2\overline{b_n}\overline{c_n})$$

$$= \sum_{cyc} (|a_n|^4 + 2\overline{a_n}^2 b_n c_n + 2a_n^2 \overline{b_n}\overline{c_n} + 4|b_n|^2|c_n|^2)$$

$$= s_n^2 + 2\sum_{cyc} (\overline{a_n}^2 b_n c_n + a_n^2 \overline{b_n}\overline{c_n} + |b_n|^2|c_n|^2).$$

Meanwhile,

$$|a_n\overline{b_n} + b_n\overline{c_n} + c_n\overline{a_n}|^2 = (a_n\overline{b_n} + b_n\overline{c_n} + c_n\overline{a_n})(\overline{a_n}b_n + \overline{b_n}c_n + \overline{c_n}a_n)$$
$$= |a_n|^2|b_n^2| + a_n\overline{b_n}^2c_n + a_n^2\overline{b_n}\overline{c_n}$$
$$+ \overline{a_n}b_n^2\overline{c_n} + |b_n|^2|c_n|^2 + a_nb_n\overline{c_n}^2$$
$$+ \overline{a_n}^2b_nc_n + \overline{a_n}\overline{b_n}c_n^2 + |a_n|^2|c_n|^2$$

which exactly matches the earlier sum, term for term.

In particular, $s_{n+1} \ge s_n^2$, so applying repeatedly,

$$s_n \ge s_0^{2^n}$$
.

Hence if $s_0 > 1$, it follows s_n is unbounded, contradicting max $\{|a_n|, |b_n|, |c_n|\} \le 2022$.

Remark. The originally intended solution was to capture all three recursions in the following way. First, change the recursion to

$$a_{n+1} = a_n^2 + 2b_n c_n$$
$$c_{n+1} = b_n^2 + 2c_n a_n$$
$$b_{n+1} = c_n^2 + 2a_n b_n$$

which is OK because we are just rearranging the terms in each triple. Then if ω is any complex number with $\omega^3 = 1$, and we define

$$z_n \coloneqq a_n + b_n \omega + c_n \omega^2,$$

the recursion amounts to saying that $z_{n+1} = z_n^2$. This allows us to analyze $|z_n|$ in a similar way as above, as now $|z_n| = |z_0|^{2^n}$.