# IMO 1999 Solution Notes 

Evan Chen《陳誼廷》

11 December 2023

This is a compilation of solutions for the 1999 IMO．Some of the solutions are my own work，but many are from the official solutions provided by the organizers（for which they hold any copyrights），and others were found by users on the Art of Problem Solving forums．

These notes will tend to be a bit more advanced and terse than the＂official＂ solutions from the organizers．In particular，if a theorem or technique is not known to beginners but is still considered＂standard＂，then I often prefer to use this theory anyways，rather than try to work around or conceal it．For example，in geometry problems I typically use directed angles without further comment，rather than awkwardly work around configuration issues．Similarly， sentences like＂let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the set of real numbers＂are typically omitted entirely．

Corrections and comments are welcome！
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## §0 Problems

1. A set $S$ of points from the space will be called completely symmetric if it has at least three elements and fulfills the condition that for every two distinct points $A$ and $B$ from $S$, the perpendicular bisector plane of the segment $A B$ is a plane of symmetry for $S$. Prove that if a completely symmetric set is finite, then it consists of the vertices of either a regular polygon, or a regular tetrahedron or a regular octahedron.
2. Find the least constant $C$ such that for any integer $n>1$ the inequality

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j}\left(x_{i}^{2}+x_{j}^{2}\right) \leq C\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}\right)^{4}
$$

holds for all real numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$. Determine the cases of equality.
3. Let $n$ be an even positive integer. Find the minimal number of cells on the $n \times n$ board that must be marked so that any cell (marked or not marked) has a marked neighboring cell.
4. Find all pairs of positive integers $(x, p)$ such that $p$ is a prime and $x^{p-1}$ is a divisor of $(p-1)^{x}+1$.
5. Two circles $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ touch internally the circle $\Omega$ in $M$ and $N$ and the center of $\Omega_{2}$ is on $\Omega_{1}$. The common chord of the circles $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ intersects $\Omega$ in $A$ and $B$ Lines $M A$ and $M B$ intersects $\Omega_{1}$ in $C$ and $D$. Prove that $\Omega_{2}$ is tangent to $C D$.
6. Find all the functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(x-f(y))=f(f(y))+x f(y)+f(x)-1
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

## §1 Solutions to Day 1

## §1.1 IMO 1999/1

Available online at https://aops.com/community/p131833.

## Problem statement

A set $S$ of points from the space will be called completely symmetric if it has at least three elements and fulfills the condition that for every two distinct points $A$ and $B$ from $S$, the perpendicular bisector plane of the segment $A B$ is a plane of symmetry for $S$. Prove that if a completely symmetric set is finite, then it consists of the vertices of either a regular polygon, or a regular tetrahedron or a regular octahedron.

Let $G$ be the centroid of $S$.
Claim - All points of $S$ lie on a sphere $\Gamma$ centered at $G$.

Proof. Each perpendicular bisector plane passes through $G$. So if $A, B \in S$ it follows $G A=G B$.

Claim - Consider any plane passing through three or more points of $S$. The points of $S$ in the plane form a regular polygon.

Proof. The cross section is a circle because we are intersecting a plane with sphere $\Gamma$. Now if $A, B, C$ are three adjacent points on this circle, by taking the perpendicular bisector we have $A B=B C$.

If the points of $S$ all lie in a plane, we are done. Otherwise, the points of $S$ determine a polyhedron $\Pi$ inscribed in $\Gamma$. All of the faces of $\Pi$ are evidently regular polygons, of the same side length $s$.

Claim - Every face of $\Pi$ is an equilateral triangle.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary some face $A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{n}$ has $n>3$. Let $B$ be any vertex adjacent to $A_{1}$ in $\Pi$ other than $A_{2}$ or $A_{n}$. Consider the plane determined by $\triangle A_{1} A_{3} B$. This is supposed to be a regular polygon, but arc $A_{1} A_{3}$ is longer than arc $A_{1} B$, and by construction there are no points inside these arcs. This is a contradiction.

Hence, $\Pi$ has faces all congruent equilateral triangles. This implies it is a regular polyhedron - either a regular tetrahedron, regular octahedron, or regular icosahedron. We can check the regular icosahedron fails by taking two antipodal points as our counterexample. This finishes the problem.

## §1.2 IMO 1999/2

Available online at https://aops.com/community/p131846.

## Problem statement

Find the least constant $C$ such that for any integer $n>1$ the inequality

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j}\left(x_{i}^{2}+x_{j}^{2}\right) \leq C\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}\right)^{4}
$$

holds for all real numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$. Determine the cases of equality.

Answer: $C=\frac{1}{8}$, with equality when two $x_{i}$ are equal and the remaining $x_{i}$ are equal to zero.

We present two proofs of the bound.

【 First solution by smoothing. Fix $\sum x_{i}=1$. The sum on the left-hand side can be interpreted as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3} \sum_{j \neq i} x_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3}\left(1-x_{i}\right)$, so we may rewrite the inequality as: Then it becomes

$$
\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}^{3}-x_{i}^{4}\right) \leq C
$$

Claim (Smoothing) - Let $f(x)=x^{3}-x^{4}$. If $u+v \leq \frac{3}{4}$, then $f(u)+f(v) \leq$ $f(0)+f(u+v)$.

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u^{3}-u^{4}\right)+\left(v^{3}-v^{4}\right) & \leq(u+v)^{3}-(u+v)^{4} \\
\Longleftrightarrow u v\left(4 u^{2}+4 v^{2}+6 u v\right) & \leq 3 u v(u+v)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u+v \leq \frac{3}{4}$ this is obvious as $4 u^{2}+4 v^{2}+6 u v \leq 4(u+v)^{2}$.
Observe that if three nonnegative reals have pairwise sums exceeding $\frac{3}{4}$ then they have sum at least $\frac{9}{8}$. Hence we can smooth until $n-2$ of the terms are zero. Hence it follows

$$
C=\max _{a+b=1}\left(a^{3}+b^{3}-a^{4}-b^{4}\right)
$$

which is routine computation giving $C=\frac{1}{8}$.

## 【 Second solution by AM-GM (Nairit Sarkar). Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS } & \leq\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_{k}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_{k}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} 2 x_{i} x_{j}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{k} x_{k}^{2}+2 \sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<n} x_{i}\right)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

## §1.3 IMO 1999/3

Available online at https://aops.com/community/p131873.

## Problem statement

Let $n$ be an even positive integer. Find the minimal number of cells on the $n \times n$ board that must be marked so that any cell (marked or not marked) has a marked neighboring cell.

For every marked cell, consider the marked cell adjacent to it; in this way we have a domino of two cells. For each domino, its aura consists of all the cells which are adjacent to a cell of the domino. There are up to eight squares in each aura, but some auras could be cut off by the boundary of the board, which means that there could be as few as five squares.

We will prove that $\frac{1}{2} n(n+2)$ is the minimum number of auras needed to cover the board (the auras need not be disjoint).

- A construction is shown on the left below, showing that $\frac{1}{2} n(n+2)$.
- Color the board as shown to the right into "rings". Every aura takes covers exactly (!) four blue cells. Since there are $2 n(n+2)$ blue cells, this implies the lower bound.


Note that this proves that a partition into disjoint auras actually always has exactly $\frac{1}{2} n(n+2)$ auras, thus also implying EGMO 2019/2.

## §2 Solutions to Day 2

## §2.1 IMO 1999/4

Available online at https://aops.com/community/p131811.

## Problem statement

Find all pairs of positive integers $(x, p)$ such that $p$ is a prime and $x^{p-1}$ is a divisor of $(p-1)^{x}+1$.

If $p=2$ then $x \in\{1,2\}$, and if $p=3$ then $x \in\{1,3\}$, since this is IMO 1990/3. Also, $x=1$ gives a solution for any prime $p$. We show that there are no other solutions.

Assume $x>1$ and let $q$ be smallest prime divisor of $x$. We have $q>2$ since $(p-1)^{x}+1$ is odd. Then

$$
(p-1)^{x} \equiv-1 \quad(\bmod q) \Longrightarrow(p-1)^{2 x} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod q)
$$

so the order of $p-1 \bmod q$ is even and divides $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1,2 x) \leq 2$. This means that

$$
p-1 \equiv-1 \quad(\bmod q) \Longrightarrow p=q .
$$

In other words $p \mid x$ and we get $x^{p-1} \mid(p-1)^{x}+1$. By exponent lifting lemma, we now have

$$
0<(p-1) \nu_{p}(x) \leq 1+\nu_{p}(x) .
$$

This forces $p=3$, which we already addressed.

## §2.2 IMO 1999/5

Available online at https://aops.com/community/p131838.

## Problem statement

Two circles $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ touch internally the circle $\Omega$ in $M$ and $N$ and the center of $\Omega_{2}$ is on $\Omega_{1}$. The common chord of the circles $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ intersects $\Omega$ in $A$ and $B$ Lines $M A$ and $M B$ intersects $\Omega_{1}$ in $C$ and $D$. Prove that $\Omega_{2}$ is tangent to $C D$.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be the centers of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$.
Let line $M Q$ meet $\Omega_{1}$ again at $W$, the homothetic image of $Q$ under $\Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega$.
Meanwhile, let $T$ be the intersection of segment $P Q$ with $\Omega_{2}$, and let $L$ be its homothetic image on $\Omega$. Since $\overline{P T Q} \perp \overline{A B}$, it follows $\overline{L W}$ is a diameter of $\Omega$. Let $O$ be its center.


Claim - $M N T Q$ is cyclic.
Proof. By Reim: $\measuredangle T Q M=\measuredangle L W M=\measuredangle L N M=\measuredangle T N M$.
Let $E$ be the midpoint of $\overline{A B}$.
Claim - $O E M N$ is cyclic.

Proof. By radical axis, the lines $M M, N N, A E B$ meet at a point $R$. Then $O E M N$ is on the circle with diameter $\overline{O R}$.

Claim - MTE are collinear.
Proof. $\measuredangle N M T=\measuredangle T Q N=\measuredangle L O N=\measuredangle N O E=\measuredangle N M E$.
Now consider the homothety mapping $\triangle W A B$ to $\triangle Q C D$. It should map $E$ to a point on line $M E$ which is also on the line through $Q$ perpendicular to $\overline{A B}$; that is, to point $T$. Hence $T C D$ are collinear, and it's immediate that $T$ is the desired tangency point.

## §2.3 IMO 1999/6

Available online at https://aops.com/community/p131856.

## Problem statement

Find all the functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(x-f(y))=f(f(y))+x f(y)+f(x)-1
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

The answer is $f(x)=-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+1$ which obviously works.
For the other direction, first note that

$$
P(f(y), y) \Longrightarrow 2 f(f(y))+f(y)^{2}-1=f(0)
$$

We introduce the notation $c=\frac{f(0)-1}{2}$, and $S=\operatorname{img} f$. Then the above assertion says

$$
f(s)=-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}+(c+1)
$$

Thus, the given functional equation can be rewritten as

$$
Q(x, s): f(x-s)=-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}+s x+f(x)-c
$$

Claim (Main claim) — We can find a function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(x-z)=z x+f(x)+g(z)
$$

Proof. If $z \neq 0$, the idea is to fix a nonzero value $s_{0} \in S$ (it exists) and then choose $x_{0}$ such that $-\frac{1}{2} s_{0}^{2}+s_{0} x_{0}-c=z$. Then, $Q\left(x_{0}, s\right)$ gives an pair $(u, v)$ with $u-v=z$.

But now for any $x$, using $Q(x+v, u)$ and $Q(x,-v)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x-z)-f(x) & =f(x-u+v)-f(x)=f(x+v)-f(x)+u(x+v)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+c \\
& =-v x-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}-c+u(x+v)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+c \\
& =-v x-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+u(x+v)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}=z x+g(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(z)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)$ depends only on $z$.
Now, let

$$
h(x):=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+f(x)-(2 c+1)
$$

so $h(0)=0$.
Claim - The function $h$ is additive.
Proof. We just need to rewrite ( $\boldsymbol{\phi})$. Letting $x=z$ in ( $\boldsymbol{\phi})$, we find that actually $g(x)=f(0)-x^{2}-f(x)$. Using the definition of $h$ now gives

$$
h(x-z)=h(x)+h(z)
$$

To finish, we need to remember that $f$, hence $h$, is known on the image

$$
S=\{f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}=\left\{\left.h(x)-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+(2 c+1) \right\rvert\, x \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Thus, we derive

$$
h\left(h(x)-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+(2 c+1)\right)=-c \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

We can take the following two instances of $\odot$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(h(2 x)-2 x^{2}+(2 c+1)\right)=-c \\
& h\left(2 h(x)-x^{2}+2(2 c+1)\right)=-2 c
\end{aligned}
$$

Now subtracting these and using $2 h(x)=h(2 x)$ gives

$$
c=h\left(-x^{2}-(2 c+1)\right)
$$

Together with $h$ additive, this implies readily $h$ is constant. That means $c=0$ and the problem is solved.

