

USAMO 2015 Solution Notes

EDITED BY EVAN CHEN

February 2, 2019

This is an unofficial solutions packet for the 2015 USAMO. In general, they are a combination of my own work, as well as the official solutions provided by the organizers (for which they hold any copyrights), and solutions found on the Art of Problem Solving forums.

Corrections and comments are welcome!

Contents

0 Problems	2
1 USAMO 2015/1, proposed by Titu Andreescu	3
2 USAMO 2015/2, proposed by Zuming Feng	4
3 USAMO 2015/3	7
4 USAMO 2015/4	9
5 USAMO 2015/5, proposed by Mohsen Jamali	10
6 USAMO 2015/6	11

§0 Problems

1. Solve in integers the equation

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 = \left(\frac{x+y}{3} + 1 \right)^3.$$

2. Quadrilateral $APBQ$ is inscribed in circle ω with $\angle P = \angle Q = 90^\circ$ and $AP = AQ < BP$. Let X be a variable point on segment \overline{PQ} . Line AX meets ω again at S (other than A). Point T lies on arc AQB of ω such that \overline{XT} is perpendicular to \overline{AX} . Let M denote the midpoint of chord \overline{ST} .

As X varies on segment \overline{PQ} , show that M moves along a circle.

3. Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $n \geq 1$. Each of the 2^n subsets of S is to be colored red or blue. (The subset itself is assigned a color and not its individual elements.) For any set $T \subseteq S$, we then write $f(T)$ for the number of subsets of T that are blue.

Determine the number of colorings that satisfy the following condition: for any subsets T_1 and T_2 of S ,

$$f(T_1)f(T_2) = f(T_1 \cup T_2)f(T_1 \cap T_2).$$

4. Steve is piling $m \geq 1$ indistinguishable stones on the squares of an $n \times n$ grid. Each square can have an arbitrarily high pile of stones. After he finished piling his stones in some manner, he can then perform *stone moves*, defined as follows. Consider any four grid squares, which are corners of a rectangle, i.e. in positions $(i, k), (i, l), (j, k), (j, l)$ for some $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$, such that $i < j$ and $k < l$. A stone move consists of either removing one stone from each of (i, k) and (j, l) and moving them to (i, l) and (j, k) respectively, or removing one stone from each of (i, l) and (j, k) and moving them to (i, k) and (j, l) respectively.

Two ways of piling the stones are equivalent if they can be obtained from one another by a sequence of stone moves. How many different non-equivalent ways can Steve pile the stones on the grid?

5. Let a, b, c, d, e be distinct positive integers such that $a^4 + b^4 = c^4 + d^4 = e^5$. Show that $ac + bd$ is a composite number.
6. Consider $0 < \lambda < 1$, and let A be a multiset of positive integers. Let $A_n = \{a \in A : a \leq n\}$. Assume that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiset A_n contains at most $n\lambda$ numbers. Show that there are infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the sum of the elements in A_n is at most $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\lambda$.

§1 USAMO 2015/1, proposed by Titu Andreescu

Solve in integers the equation

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 = \left(\frac{x+y}{3} + 1\right)^3.$$

We do the trick of setting $a = x + y$ and $b = x - y$. This rewrites the equation as

$$\frac{1}{4}((a+b)^2 + (a+b)(a-b) + (a-b)^2) = \left(\frac{a}{3} + 1\right)^3$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ have the same parity. This becomes

$$3a^2 + b^2 = 4\left(\frac{a}{3} + 1\right)^3$$

which is enough to imply $3 \mid a$, so let $a = 3c$. Miraculously, this becomes

$$b^2 = (c-2)^2(4c+1).$$

So a solution must have $4c+1 = m^2$, with m odd. This gives

$$x = \frac{1}{8}(3(m^2-1) \pm (m^3-9m)) \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{1}{8}(3(m^2-1) \mp (m^3-9m)).$$

For mod 8 reasons, this always generates a valid integer solution, so this is the complete curve of solutions. Actually, putting $m = 2n + 1$ gives the much nicer curve

$$\boxed{x = n^3 + 3n^2 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y = -n^3 + 3n + 1}$$

and permutations.

For $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ this gives the first few solutions are $(-1, 1), (3, 3), (19, -1), (53, -17)$, (and permutations).

§2 USAMO 2015/2, proposed by Zuming Feng

Quadrilateral $APBQ$ is inscribed in circle ω with $\angle P = \angle Q = 90^\circ$ and $AP = AQ < BP$. Let X be a variable point on segment \overline{PQ} . Line AX meets ω again at S (other than A). Point T lies on arc AQB of ω such that \overline{XT} is perpendicular to \overline{AX} . Let M denote the midpoint of chord \overline{ST} .

As X varies on segment \overline{PQ} , show that M moves along a circle.

We present three solutions, one by complex numbers, two more synthetic. (A fourth solution using median formulas is also possible.) Most solutions will prove that the center of the fixed circle is the midpoint of \overline{AO} (with O the center of ω); this can be recovered empirically by letting

- X approach P (giving the midpoint of \overline{BP})
- X approach Q (giving the point Q), and
- X at the midpoint of \overline{PQ} (giving the point BQ)

which determines the circle; this circle then passes through P by symmetry and we can find the center by taking the intersection of two perpendicular bisectors (which two?).

Complex solution (Evan Chen) Toss on the complex unit circle with $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $z = -\frac{1}{2}$. Let s and t be on the unit circle. We claim Z is the center.

It follows from standard formulas that

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(s + t - 1 + s/t)$$

thus


$$4 \operatorname{Re} x + 2 = s + t + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{s}{t} + \frac{t}{s}$$

which depends only on P and Q , and not on X . Thus

$$4 \left| z - \frac{s+t}{2} \right|^2 = |s+t+1|^2 = 3 + (4 \operatorname{Re} x + 2)$$

does not depend on X , done.

Homothety solution (Alex Whatley) Let G , N , O denote the centroid, nine-point center, and circumcenter of triangle AST , respectively. Let Y denote the midpoint of \overline{AS} . Then the three points X , Y , M lie on the nine-point circle of triangle AST , which is centered at N and has radius $\frac{1}{2}AO$.



The main claim is:

Claim — Quadrilateral $PQKM$ is cyclic.

Proof. To see this, we use power of a point: let $V = \overline{QXYP} \cap \overline{SKMT}$. One approach is that since $(VK; ST) = -1$ we have $VQ \cdot VP = VS \cdot VT = VK \cdot VM$. A longer approach is more elementary:

$$VQ \cdot VP = VS \cdot VT = VX \cdot VY = VK \cdot VM$$

using the nine-point circle, and the circle with diameter \overline{ST} . □

But the circumcenter of $PQKM$, is the midpoint of \overline{AO} , since it lies on the perpendicular bisectors of \overline{KM} and \overline{PQ} . So it is fixed, the end.

§3 USAMO 2015/3

Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $n \geq 1$. Each of the 2^n subsets of S is to be colored red or blue. (The subset itself is assigned a color and not its individual elements.) For any set $T \subseteq S$, we then write $f(T)$ for the number of subsets of T that are blue.

Determine the number of colorings that satisfy the following condition: for any subsets T_1 and T_2 of S ,

$$f(T_1)f(T_2) = f(T_1 \cup T_2)f(T_1 \cap T_2).$$

For an n -coloring \mathcal{C} (by which we mean a coloring of the subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$), define the **support** of \mathcal{C} as

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{C}) = \{T \mid f(T) \neq 0\}.$$

Call a coloring **nontrivial** if $\text{supp}(\mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset$ (equivalently, the coloring is not all red). In that case, notice that

- the support is *closed under unions and intersections*: since if $f(T_1)f(T_2) \neq 0$ then necessarily both $f(T_1 \cap T_2)$ and $f(T_1 \cup T_2) \neq 0$ are nonzero; and
- the support is obviously *upwards closed*.

Thus, the support must take the form

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{C}) = [X, S] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{T \mid X \subseteq T \subseteq S\}$$

for some set X (for example by letting X be the minimal (by size) element of the support).

Say \mathcal{C} has **full support** if $X = \emptyset$ (equivalently, \emptyset is blue).

Lemma

For a given n and $B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, there is exactly one n -coloring with full support in which the singletons colored blue are exactly those in B . Therefore there are exactly 2^n n -colorings with full support.

Proof. To see there is at least one coloring, color only the subsets of B blue. In that case

$$f(T) = 2^{|T \cap B|}$$

which satisfies the condition by Inclusion-Exclusion. To see this extension is unique, note that $f(\{b\})$ is determined for each b and we can then determine $f(T)$ inductively on $|T|$; hence there is *at most* one way to complete a coloring of the singletons, which completes the proof. \square

For a general nontrivial n -coloring \mathcal{C} , note that if $\text{supp}(\mathcal{C}) = [X, S]$, then we can think of it as an $(n - |X|)$ -coloring with full support. For $|X| = k$, there are $\binom{n}{k}$ possible choices of $X \subseteq S$. Adding back in the trivial coloring, the final answer is

$$1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^k = \boxed{1 + 3^n}.$$

Remark. To be more explicit, the possible nontrivial colorings are exactly described by specifying two sets X and Y with $X \subseteq Y$, and coloring blue only the sets T with $X \subseteq T \subseteq Y$.

In particular, one deduces that in a working coloring, $f(T)$ is always either zero or a power of two. If one manages to notice this while working on the problem, it is quite helpful for motivating the solution, as it leads one to suspect that the working colorings have good structure.

§4 USAMO 2015/4

Steve is piling $m \geq 1$ indistinguishable stones on the squares of an $n \times n$ grid. Each square can have an arbitrarily high pile of stones. After he finished piling his stones in some manner, he can then perform *stone moves*, defined as follows. Consider any four grid squares, which are corners of a rectangle, i.e. in positions $(i, k), (i, l), (j, k), (j, l)$ for some $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$, such that $i < j$ and $k < l$. A stone move consists of either removing one stone from each of (i, k) and (j, l) and moving them to (i, l) and (j, k) respectively, or removing one stone from each of (i, l) and (j, k) and moving them to (i, k) and (j, l) respectively.

Two ways of piling the stones are equivalent if they can be obtained from one another by a sequence of stone moves. How many different non-equivalent ways can Steve pile the stones on the grid?

The answer is $\binom{m+n-1}{n-1}^2$. The main observation is that the multi-set of column counts, and the multi-set of row counts, remains invariant. We call the pair (X, Y) of multisets the *signature* of the configuration.

We are far from done. This problem is a good test of mathematical maturity since the following steps are then necessary:

1. Check that signatures are invariant around moves (trivial)
2. Check conversely that two configurations are equivalent if they have the same signatures (the hard part of the problem), and
3. Show that each signature is realized by at least one configuration (not immediate, but pretty easy).

Most procedures to the second step are algorithmic in nature, but Ankan Bhattacharya gives the following far cleaner approach. Rather than having a grid of stones, we simply consider the multiset of ordered pairs (x, y) . Then, the signatures correspond to the multisets of x and y coordinates, while a *stone move corresponds to switching two y -coordinates in different pairs*, say.

Then, the second part is completed just because transpositions generate any permutation. To be explicit, given two sets of stones, we can permute the labels so that the first set is $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)$ and the second set of stones is $(x_1, y'_1), \dots, (x_m, y'_m)$. Then we just induce the correct permutation on (y_i) to get (y'_i) .

The third part is obvious since given two multisets $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ we just put stones at (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

In that sense, the entire grid is a huge red herring!

§5 USAMO 2015/5, proposed by Mohsen Jamali

Let a, b, c, d, e be distinct positive integers such that $a^4 + b^4 = c^4 + d^4 = e^5$. Show that $ac + bd$ is a composite number.

Assume to the contrary that $p = ac + bd$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} ac &\equiv -bd \pmod{p} \\ \implies a^4 c^4 &\equiv b^4 d^4 \pmod{p} \\ \implies a^4 (e^5 - d^4) &\equiv (e^5 - a^4) d^4 \pmod{p} \\ \implies a^4 e^5 &\equiv d^4 e^5 \pmod{p} \\ \implies e^5 (a^4 - d^4) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$p \mid e^5 (a - d)(a + d)(a^2 + d^2).$$

Claim — We should have $p < e$.

Proof. We have $e^{10} = (a^4 + b^4)(c^4 + d^4) > (ac)^4 + (bd)^4 > \frac{1}{8}(ac + bd)^4 = \frac{1}{8}p^4$. Since $e \geq 2$ this gives $p < e$. \square

Thus the above equation implies $p \leq \max(a - d, a + d, a^2 + d^2) = a^2 + d^2$. Similarly, $p \leq b^2 + c^2$. So

$$ac + bd = p \leq \min\{a^2 + d^2, b^2 + c^2\}$$

or by subtraction

$$0 \leq \min\{a(a - c) + d(d - b), b(b - d) + c(c - a)\}.$$

But since $a^4 + b^4 = c^4 + d^4$ the numbers $a - c$ and $d - b$ should have the same sign, and so this is an obvious contradiction.

§6 USAMO 2015/6

Consider $0 < \lambda < 1$, and let A be a multiset of positive integers. Let $A_n = \{a \in A : a \leq n\}$. Assume that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiset A_n contains at most $n\lambda$ numbers. Show that there are infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the sum of the elements in A_n is at most $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\lambda$.

Let $x_n = n\lambda - \#A_n \geq 0$. We now proceed by contradiction by assuming the conclusion fails for n large enough; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\lambda &< \sum_{a \in A_n} a \\ &= 1(\#A_1 - \#A_0) + 2(\#A_2 - \#A_1) + \cdots + n(\#A_n - \#A_{n-1}) \\ &= n\#A_n - (\#A_1 + \cdots + \#A_{n-1}) \\ &= n(n\lambda - x_n) - [(\lambda - x_1) + (2\lambda - x_2) + \cdots + (n\lambda - x_n)] \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\lambda - nx_n + (x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that for all sufficiently large n , we have

$$x_n < \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}}{n} \quad \forall n \gg 0.$$

Intuitively this means x_n should become close to each other, since they are also nonnegative.

Astonishingly, this intuition is false and (x_n) need not converge; Zhao-Ting Wei showed me that one can have a sequence which is zero “every so often” yet where the average is nonzero. However, we have a second condition we haven’t used yet: the “integer” condition implies

$$|x_{n+1} - x_n| = |\lambda - \#\{n \in A\}| > \varepsilon$$

for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$; i.e. consecutive terms differ by some fixed ε . We will prove this gives the desired contradiction.

Note that for some $n > N_0$ and M , we have $x_n < M$. Hence for $n > N_0$ we have $x_n + x_{n+1} < 2M - \varepsilon$, and so for some the average must eventually approach $M - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Thus for some large $N_1 > N_0$, we will have

$$x_n < M - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon \quad \forall n > N_1.$$

If we repeat this argument then with a large $N_2 > N_1$, we obtain

$$x_n < M - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon \quad \forall n > N_2$$

and so on and so forth. This is a clear contradiction.

Remark. Note that if $A = \{2, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and $\lambda = 1$ then contradiction. So the condition that $0 < \lambda < 1$ cannot be dropped, and (by scaling) neither can the condition that $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.