

IMO 2015 Solution Notes

COMPILED BY EVAN CHEN

April 15, 2019

This is an compilation of solutions for the 2015 IMO. Some of the solutions are my own work, but many are from the official solutions provided by the organizers (for which they hold any copyrights), and others were found on the Art of Problem Solving forums.

Corrections and comments are welcome!

Contents

0 Problems	2
1 IMO 2015/1, proposed by Netherlands	3
2 IMO 2015/2, proposed by Serbia	4
3 IMO 2015/3, proposed by Ukraine	5
4 IMO 2015/4, proposed by Silouanos Brazitikos (HEL)	6
5 IMO 2015/5, proposed by Dorlir Ahmeti (ALB)	7
6 IMO 2015/6, proposed by Australia	8

§0 Problems

1. We say that a finite set \mathcal{S} of points in the plane is *balanced* if, for any two different points A and B in \mathcal{S} , there is a point C in \mathcal{S} such that $AC = BC$. We say that \mathcal{S} is *centre-free* if for any three different points A, B and C in \mathcal{S} , there are no points P in \mathcal{S} such that $PA = PB = PC$.
 - (a) Show that for all integers $n \geq 3$, there exists a balanced set consisting of n points.
 - (b) Determine all integers $n \geq 3$ for which there exists a balanced centre-free set consisting of n points.
2. Find all positive integers a, b, c such that each of $ab - c, bc - a, ca - b$ is a power of 2 (possibly including $2^0 = 1$).
3. Let ABC be an acute triangle with $AB > AC$. Let Γ be its circumcircle, H its orthocenter, and F the foot of the altitude from A . Let M be the midpoint of \overline{BC} . Let Q be the point on Γ such that $\angle HQA = 90^\circ$ and let K be the point on Γ such that $\angle HKQ = 90^\circ$. Assume that the points A, B, C, K and Q are all different and lie on Γ in this order. Prove that the circumcircles of triangles KQH and FKM are tangent to each other.
4. Triangle ABC has circumcircle Ω and circumcenter O . A circle Γ with center A intersects the segment BC at points D and E , such that B, D, E , and C are all different and lie on line BC in this order. Let F and G be the points of intersection of Γ and Ω , such that A, F, B, C , and G lie on Ω in this order. Let $K = (BDF) \cap \overline{AB} \neq B$ and $L = (CGE) \cap \overline{AC} \neq C$ and assume these points do not lie on line FG . Define $X = \overline{FK} \cap \overline{GL}$. Prove that X lies on the line AO .
5. Solve the functional equation

$$f(x + f(x + y)) + f(xy) = x + f(x + y) + yf(x)$$

for $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

6. The sequence a_1, a_2, \dots of integers satisfies the conditions:
 - (i) $1 \leq a_j \leq 2015$ for all $j \geq 1$,
 - (ii) $k + a_k \neq \ell + a_\ell$ for all $1 \leq k < \ell$.

Prove that there exist two positive integers b and N for which

$$\left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n (a_j - b) \right| \leq 1007^2$$


for all integers m and n such that $n > m \geq N$.

§1 IMO 2015/1, proposed by Netherlands

We say that a finite set \mathcal{S} of points in the plane is *balanced* if, for any two different points A and B in \mathcal{S} , there is a point C in \mathcal{S} such that $AC = BC$. We say that \mathcal{S} is *centre-free* if for any three different points A, B and C in \mathcal{S} , there are no points P in \mathcal{S} such that $PA = PB = PC$.

- Show that for all integers $n \geq 3$, there exists a balanced set consisting of n points.
- Determine all integers $n \geq 3$ for which there exists a balanced centre-free set consisting of n points.

For part (a), take a circle centered at a point O , and add $n - 1$ additional points by adding pairs of points separated by an arc of 60° or similar triples. An example for $n = 6$ is shown below.



For part (b), the answer is odd n , achieved by taking a regular n -gon. To show even n fail, note that some point is on the perpendicular bisector of

$$\left\lceil \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{2} \right\rceil = \frac{n}{2}$$

pairs of points, which is enough. (This is a standard double-counting argument.)

As an aside, there is a funny joke about this problem. There are two types of people in the world: those who solve (b) quickly and then take forever to solve (a), and those who solve (a) quickly and then can't solve (b) at all. (Empirically true when the Taiwan IMO 2014 team was working on it.)

§2 IMO 2015/2, proposed by Serbia

Find all positive integers a, b, c such that each of $ab - c, bc - a, ca - b$ is a power of 2 (possibly including $2^0 = 1$).

Here is the solution of **Telv Cohl**, which is the shortest solution I am aware of. We will prove the only solutions are $(2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 3), (2, 6, 11)$ and $(3, 5, 7)$ and permutations.

WLOG assume $a \geq b \geq c > 1$, so $ab - c \geq ca - b \geq bc - a$. We consider the following cases:

- If a is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} ca - b &= \gcd(ab - c, ca - b) \leq \gcd(ab - c, a(ca - b) + ab - c) \\ &= \gcd(ab - c, c(a^2 - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

As $a^2 - 1$ is odd, we conclude $ca - b \leq c$. This implies $a = b = c = 2$.

- If a, b, c are all odd, then $a > b > c > 1$ follows. Then as before

$$ca - b \leq \gcd(ab - c, c(a^2 - 1)) \leq 2^{\nu_2(a^2 - 1)} \leq 2a + 2 \leq 3a - b$$

so $c = 3$ and $a = b + 2$. As $3a - b = ca - b \geq 2(bc - a) = 6b - 2a$ we then conclude $a = 7$ and $b = 5$.

- If a is odd and b, c are even, then $bc - a = 1$ and hence $bc^2 - b - c = ca - b$. Then from the miraculous identity

$$c^3 - b - c = (1 - c^2)(ab - c) + a \underbrace{(bc^2 - b - c)}_{=ca-b} + (ca - b)$$

so we conclude $\gcd(ab - c, ca - b) = \gcd(ab - c, c^3 - b - c)$, in other words

$$bc^2 - b - c = ca - b = \gcd(ab - c, ca - b) = \gcd(ab - c, c^3 - b - c).$$

We thus consider two more cases:

- If $c^3 - b - c \neq 0$ then the above implies $|c^3 - b - c| \geq bc^2 - b - c$. As $b \geq c > 1$, we must actually have $b = c$, thus $a = c^2 - 1$. Finally $ab - c = c(c^2 - 2)$ is a power of 2, hence $b = c = 2$, so $a = 3$.
- In the second case, assume $c^3 - b - c = 0$, hence $c^3 = c$. From $bc - a = 1$ we obtain $a = c^4 - c^2 - 1$, hence

$$ca - b = c^5 - 2c^3 = c^3(c^2 - 2)$$


is a power of 2, hence again $c = 2$. Thus $a = 11$ and $b = 6$.

This finishes all cases, so the proof is done.

§3 IMO 2015/3, proposed by Ukraine

Let ABC be an acute triangle with $AB > AC$. Let Γ be its circumcircle, H its orthocenter, and F the foot of the altitude from A . Let M be the midpoint of BC . Let Q be the point on Γ such that $\angle HQA = 90^\circ$ and let K be the point on Γ such that $\angle HKQ = 90^\circ$. Assume that the points A, B, C, K and Q are all different and lie on Γ in this order. Prove that the circumcircles of triangles KQH and FKM are tangent to each other.

Let N, T be midpoints of $\overline{HQ}, \overline{AH}$. Let L be on the nine-point circle with $\angle HML = 90^\circ$. The negative inversion at H swapping Γ and nine-point circle maps A to F , K to L , Q to M .




As $\overline{LM} \parallel \overline{AQ}$ we just need to prove $LA = LQ$. But \overline{MT} is a diameter, hence $LTNM$ is a rectangle, so \overline{LT} passes through the center of Γ .

§4 IMO 2015/4, proposed by Silouanos Brazitikos (HEL)

Triangle ABC has circumcircle Ω and circumcenter O . A circle Γ with center A intersects the segment BC at points D and E , such that B, D, E , and C are all different and lie on line BC in this order. Let F and G be the points of intersection of Γ and Ω , such that A, F, B, C , and G lie on Ω in this order. Let $K = (BDF) \cap \overline{AB} \neq B$ and $L = (CGE) \cap \overline{AC} \neq C$ and assume these points do not lie on line FG . Define $X = \overline{FK} \cap \overline{GL}$. Prove that X lies on the line AO .

Since $\overline{AO} \perp \overline{FG}$ for obvious reasons, we will only need to show that $XF = XG$, or that $\angle KFG = \angle LGF$.

Let line FG meet (BDF) and (CGE) again at F_2 and G_2 .



Claim — Quadrilaterals $FBDF_2$ and G_2ECG are similar, actually homothetic through $\overline{FG} \cap \overline{BC}$.

Proof. This is essentially a repeated application of being “anti-parallel” through $\angle(FG, BC)$. Note the four angle relations

$$\begin{aligned} \angle(FD, FG) &= \angle(BC, GE) = \angle(G_2C, FG) \implies \overline{FD} \parallel \overline{G_2C} \\ \angle(F_2B, FG) &= \angle(BC, FD) = \angle(GE, FG) \implies \overline{F_2B} \parallel \overline{GE} \\ \angle(FB, FG) &= \angle(BC, GC) = \angle(G_2E, FG) \implies \overline{FB} \parallel \overline{G_2E} \\ \angle(F_2D, FG) &= \angle(BC, FB) = \angle(GC, FG) \implies \overline{F_2D} \parallel \overline{GC}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired homotheties. □

To finish the angle chase,

$$\begin{aligned} \angle GFK &= \angle F_2BK = \angle F_2BF - \angle ABF = \angle F_2DF - \angle ABF \\ &= \angle F_2DF - \angle GCA = \angle GCG_2 - \angle GCA \\ &= \angle LCG_2 = \angle LGF \end{aligned}$$

as needed. (Here $\angle ABF = \angle GCA$ since $AF = AG$.)

§5 IMO 2015/5, proposed by Dorlir Ahmeti (ALB)

Solve the functional equation

$$f(x + f(x + y)) + f(xy) = x + f(x + y) + yf(x)$$

for $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The answers are $f(x) \equiv x$ and $f(x) \equiv 2 - x$. Obviously, both of them work.

Let $P(x, y)$ be the given assertion. We also will let $S = \{t \mid f(t) = t\}$ be the set of fixed points of f .

- From $P(0, 0)$ we get $f(f(0)) = 0$.
- From $P(0, f(0))$ we get $2f(0) = f(0)^2$ and hence $f(0) \in \{0, 2\}$.
- From $P(x, 1)$ we find that $x + f(x + 1) \in S$ for all x .

We now solve the case $f(0) = 2$.

Claim — If $f(0) = 2$ then $f(x) \equiv 2 - x$.

Proof. Let $t \in S$ be any fixed point. Then $P(0, t)$ gives $2 = 2t$ or $t = 1$; so $S = \{1\}$. But we also saw $x + f(x + 1) \in S$, which implies $f(x) \equiv 2 - x$. \square

Henceforth, assume $f(0) = 0$.

Claim — If $f(0) = 0$ then f is odd.

Proof. Note that $P(1, -1) \implies f(1) + f(-1) = 1 - f(1)$ and $P(-1, 1) \implies f(-1) + f(-1) = -1 + f(1)$, together giving $f(1) = 1$ and $f(-1) = -1$. To prove f odd we now obtain more fixed points:

- From $P(x, 0)$ we find that $x + f(x) \in S$.
- From $P(1, y)$ we find $1 + f(y + 1) \in S$.
- Combining the previous two bullets, one can deduce that

$$S \ni 1 + f(z + 1 + f(z + 1)) = z + 2 + f(z + 1) \quad \forall z.$$

Then $P(z + 2, -1)$ gives $f(-(z + 2)) = -f(z + 2)$, so f is odd. \square

To finish from f odd, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, -x) &\implies f(x) + f(-x^2) = x - xf(x) \\ P(-x, x) &\implies f(-x) + f(-x^2) = -x + xf(-x) \end{aligned}$$

which upon subtracting gives $f(x) \equiv x$.

§6 IMO 2015/6, proposed by Australia

The sequence a_1, a_2, \dots of integers satisfies the conditions:

- (i) $1 \leq a_j \leq 2015$ for all $j \geq 1$,
- (ii) $k + a_k \neq \ell + a_\ell$ for all $1 \leq k < \ell$.

Prove that there exist two positive integers b and N for which

$$\left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n (a_j - b) \right| \leq 1007^2$$

for all integers m and n such that $n > m \geq N$.

We give two equivalent solutions with different presentations, one with “arrows” and the other by “juggling”.

First solution (arrows) Consider the map

$$f: k \mapsto k + a_k.$$

This map is injective, so if we draw all arrows of the form $k \mapsto f(k)$ we get a partition of f into one or more ascending chains (which skip by at most 2015) There are at most 2015 such chains.

We claim we may take b to be the number of such chains, and N to be the largest of the start-points of all the chains.

Consider an interval $I = [m + 1, n]$. We have that

$$\sum_{m < j \leq n} a_j = \sum_{\text{chain } c} [\min \{x > n, x \in c\} - \min \{x > m, x \in c\}].$$

Thus the upper bound is proved by the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m < j \leq n} (a_j - b) &= \sum_{\text{chain } c} [(\min \{x > n, x \in c\} - n) - (\min \{x > m, x \in c\} - m)] \\ &\leq (1 + 2015 + 2014 + \dots) - (1 + 2 + \dots + b) = (b - 1)(2015 - b) \end{aligned}$$

from above (noting that $n + 1$ has to belong to some chain). The lower bound is similar.

Second solution (juggling) This solution is essentially the same, but phrased as a juggling problem. Here is a solution in this interpretation: we will consider several balls thrown in the air, which may be at heights $0, 1, 2, \dots, 2014$. The process is as follows:

- Initially, at time $t = 0$, there are no balls in the air.
- Then at each integer time t thereafter, if there is a ball at height 0, it is caught; otherwise a ball is added to the juggler’s hand. This ball (either caught or added) is then thrown to a height of a_t .
- Immediately afterwards, all balls have their height decreased by one.

The condition $a_k + k \neq \ell + a_\ell$ thus ensures that no two balls are ever at the same height. In particular, there will never be more than 2016 balls, since there are only 2015 possible heights.

We claim we may set.

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \text{number of balls in entire process} \\ N &= \text{last moment in time at which a ball is added.} \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the key fact is that if we let S_t denote the sum of the height of all the balls just after time $t + \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$S_{t+1} - S_t = a_{t+1} - b$$

After all, at each time step t , the caught ball is thrown to height a_t , and then all balls have their height decreased by 1, from which the conclusion follows. Hence the quantity in the problem is exactly equal to

$$\left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n (a_j - b) \right| = |S_m - S_n|.$$

For a fixed b , we easily have the inequalities $0 + 1 + \dots + (b-1) \leq S_t \leq 2014 + 2013 + \dots + (2015 - b)$. Hence $|S_m - S_n| \leq (b-1)(2015 - b) \leq 1007^2$ as desired.